THE Sports Law Review

Editor András Gurovits

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

The Sports Law Review

The Sports Law Review Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Sports Law Review - Edition 1 (published in November 2015 – editor András Gurovits)

For further information please email Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com

THE Sports Law Review

Editor András Gurovits

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

PUBLISHER Gideon Roberton

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS Thomas Lee, Felicity Bown, Joel Woods

> ACCOUNT MANAGER Jessica Parsons

PUBLISHING MANAGER Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT Rebecca Mogridge

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT Sophie Arkell

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITORS Anne Borthwick, Robbie Kelly

> SUBEDITOR Caroline Herbert

MANAGING DIRECTOR Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2015 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply. The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of November 2015, be advised that this is a developing area. Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-909830-58-5

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW

THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW

THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ADVICE LAW FIRM

AL TAMIMI & COMPANY

ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP

ALLENDE & BREA

ALTIUS

CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD

CHARLES RUSSELL SPEECHLYS LLP

COCCIA DE ANGELIS PARDO & ASSOCIATI

CUATRECASAS, GONÇALVES PEREIRA

GOLDENGATE LAW FIRM

MARTENS RECHTSANWÄLTE

MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS

NIEDERER KRAFT & FREY LTD

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

PINTÓ RUIZ & DEL VALLE

SOFOKLIS P PILAVIOS LAW FIRM

i

CONTENTS

Editor's Preface	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	v
	András Gurovits	
Chapter 1	ARGENTINA Juan Martin Allende and Laura Inés Kurlat	1
Chapter 2	BELGIUM Sven Demeulemeester	14
Chapter 3	BRAZIL Adolpho Julio Camargo de Carvalho	27
Chapter 4	DENMARK Lars Hilliger	39
Chapter 5	ENGLAND AND WALES Jon Ellis, Ian Lynam, Paul Shapiro and Ben Rees	56
Chapter 6	FINLAND Pia Ek and Hilma-Karoliina Markkanen	72
Chapter 7	GERMANY	84

Dirk-Reiner Martens and Alexander Engelhard

Chapter 8	GREECE)8
Chapter 9	ITALY	.7
Chapter 10	NEW ZEALAND	\$4

Chapter 11	PORTUGAL
Chapter 12	SINGAPORE
Chapter 13	SPAIN
Chapter 14	SWITZERLAND
Chapter 15	UKRAINE
Chapter 16	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS 233
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS 245

iv

EDITOR'S PREFACE

In line with the increasing commercialisation of sports events, sports-related legal issues have become a matter of growing interest in the academic field, in the practice of state courts and specialised courts of arbitration, as well as in the daily operations of sports clubs and sports businesses. The number of specialised advisers is growing to keep pace with the increasing need for support in the area of sports and pertaining legal issues.

Sports law is not a single legal topic, but rather a field of law that includes a wide variety of legal areas, such as contract, corporate, intellectual property, civil procedure, arbitration and criminal law. Because of the specificity of the sports sector, where private organisations govern many important aspects of the relevant sports issues, the sports law practitioner has to be familiar not only with the pertaining statutory laws, but also with the set of private rules enacted by the sports governing bodies. While the statutory laws of a particular jurisdiction apply, as a rule, only within the borders of that particular jurisdiction, the private sets of rules of international sports federations such as FIFA, UEFA, FIS, IIHF and IAAF have worldwide reach. The profession of the sports lawyers who are familiar with these international private norms and apply them in their daily practice may, therefore, have a strong international dimension. This notwithstanding, local laws remain relevant in respect of all matters not covered by these private sets of rules, as well as in respect of local mandatory provisions that may prevail or invalidate certain provisions of regulations set forth by sports governing bodies. This was one of the principal reasons behind the launch of the present publication. Its goal is to provide a practical, business-focused analysis of recent developments and their effects on the sports law sector; it will serve as a guidebook for practitioners as to how a selected range of legal topics is dealt with under various national laws. The guidance given herein will, of course, not substitute for any particular local law advice that a party may have to seek in connection with sports-related operations and activities.

Because of certain limitations in terms of the length and complexity of the country-specific chapters, this book can only cover a selection of sports law issues, which the reader will, hopefully, find helpful. Each chapter will start by discussing the legal framework of the relevant jurisdiction permitting sports organisations, such as sports

clubs and sports governing bodies (e.g., national and international sports federations), to establish themselves and determine their organisational structure, as well as their disciplinary and other internal proceedings. The section detailing the competence and organisation of sports governing bodies will explain the degree of autonomy that sports governing bodies enjoy in the jurisdiction, particularly in terms of organisational freedoms and the right to establish an internal judiciary system to regulate a particular sport in the relevant country. The purpose of the dispute resolution system section is to outline the judiciary system for sports matters in general, including those that have been dealt with at first instance by sports governing bodies. An overview of the most relevant issues in the context of the organisation of a sports event is provided in the next section and, subsequent to that, a discussion on the commercialisation of such events and sports rights will cover the kinds of event- or sports-related rights that can be exploited, including rights relating to sponsorship, broadcasting and merchandising. This section will further analyse ownership of the relevant rights and how these rights can be transferred.

Our authors then provide sections detailing the relationships between professional sports and labour law, antitrust law and taxation in their own countries. The section devoted to specific sports issues will discuss certain acts that may qualify not only as breaches of the rules and regulations of the sports governing bodies, but also as criminal offences under local law, such as doping, betting and match fixing.

In the final sections of each chapter the authors provide a review of the year, outlining recent decisions of courts or arbitral tribunals in their respective jurisdictions that are of interest and relevance to practitioners and sports organisations in an international context, before they summarise their conclusions and the outlook for the coming period.

This first edition of The Sports Law Review covers 16 jurisdictions. Each chapter has been provided by renowned sports law practitioners in the relevant jurisdiction and as editor of this publication I would like to express my greatest respect for the skilful contributions of my esteemed colleagues. I trust also that each reader will find the work of these authors informative and will avail themselves at every opportunity of the valuable insights contained in these chapters.

András Gurovits

Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd Zurich November 2015

Chapter 14

SWITZERLAND

András Gurovits, Martina Madonna-Quadri and René Fischer¹

I ORGANISATION OF SPORTS CLUBS AND SPORTS GOVERNING BODIES

The Swiss Constitution (BV) protects the principle of freedom of association under which every person has the right to form, join or belong to an association and to participate in the activities of such association.² In addition, the BV protects economic freedom, which includes in particular the freedom to choose an occupation and the freedom to pursue a private economic activity.³ These constitutional provisions form the basis of the liberty to set up legal entities in various forms, including associations and share corporations, which are the most commonly used forms of entities in the area of sport. The Swiss Civil Code (ZGB) and the Swiss Code of Obligations (OR) provide a more detailed legal framework for associations and share corporations.

Within the boundaries of the mandatory statutory legislation, parties are free to determine at their discretion all relevant aspects of the organisation and management of a legal entity such as its structure and internal judiciary system. In particular, the statutory framework for associations provided in the ZGB is very liberal, providing not more than 23 articles of rather generic content.⁴ This ensures a very friendly environment for sports

- 1 András Gurovits is a partner and Martina Madonna-Quadri and René Fischer are associates at Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd.
- 2 Article 23 BV.
- 3 Article 27 BV.
- Articles 60–79 ZGB; Heini/Scherrer, in *Basler Kommentar, Zivilgesetzbuch I*, 5th ed.
 (BSK ZGB I-Heini/Scherrer), preliminary notes to Articles 60 to 79, Paragraph 10 et seq. and Article 64, Paragraph 4; Arthur Meier-Hayoz, Peter Forstmoser, *Schweizerisches Gesellschaftsrecht mit Einbezug des künftigen Rechnungslegungsrechts und der Aktienrechtsreform*, 11th ed., Berne 2012, p. 675.

governing bodies, which make full use of this freedom to enact comprehensive statutes and regulations that fit their organisations' specific goals and needs.

i Organisational form

The ZGB and the OR provide the basic statutory framework permitting the establishment of associations and share corporations and limited liability companies.⁵

In contrast to the corporate world, where businesses operate as share corporations or limited liability companies, most sports federations domiciled in Switzerland (e.g., FIFA, UEFA, the International Handball Federation, the UCI (the International Cycling Union) and FISA (the World Rowing Federation)) are organised as associations. They are established and managed by their members, and have neither any defined share capital nor, as a consequence, shareholders. Sports federations organised as associations have a participatory nature. They do not have a defined company capital and quota or shares that can be held by owners. In addition, the decision-making process follows the per capita principle (i.e., each member has, as a rule, one vote in members' meetings).⁶

On the other hand, share corporations, and in some limited cases limited liability companies, are the forms used for professional sports teams, and in particular for football⁷ and ice hockey teams.⁸ These types of entities have a capitalistic structure and provide a defined company capital divided into specific quota, usually shares, held by the company's owners (i.e., the shareholders). In principle, the more stock that a shareholder holds, the stronger will be his or her influence on the decision-making process at the shareholder level (i.e., at shareholders' assemblies).

Despite the above differences, share corporations and associations have various important aspects in common. Both types of organisations are legal entities with their own legal identity and company name;⁹ have different decision-making and management bodies; and have their own personnel performing the required strategic, operational and administrative functions.

ii Corporate governance

The members of associations established under Swiss law enjoy wide discretion in terms of structuring and administering an entity, for instance in respect of establishing its internal governance, the rights and obligations of the members as well as the judiciary instances.¹⁰ It is because of this fundamental liberty that the form of association is very often chosen not only for (non-professional) sports clubs, but also for national and international sports federations. According to a review undertaken by the Swiss Federal

- 5 In particular for associations, Article 60 et seq. ZGB; for share corporations, Article 620 et seq. OR; and for limited liability companies, Article 772 et seq. OR.
- 6 Article 64 ZGB in conjunction with Article 67 ZGB.
- 7 For example, FC Basel 1893 AG, Neue Grasshopper Fussball AG.
- 8 For example, ZSC Lions Betriebs AG.
- 9 Article 52 et seq. ZGB.
- 10 BSK ZGB I-Heini/Scherrer, footnote 4, preliminary notes to Articles 60 to 79, Paragraph 10 et seq.; Meier-Hayoz, Forstmoser, footnote 4, p. 675.

Office of Sport in 2011, more than 20,000 sports clubs forming part (through their relevant national federations) of Swiss Olympics were organised as associations.¹¹ The form of association is chosen for small sports clubs with less than 100 members (64 per cent of all sports associations) as well as for large clubs with more than 300 members (6 per cent of all sports associations).¹² Once an association is operating a trading, manufacturing or other type of commercial business, it is obliged to enter it in the commercial register.¹³ This duty typically applies to national and international sports governing bodies that generate material income through the commercialisation of the sports organised under their auspices.¹⁴ The obligation of an association to register itself in the commercial register also triggers a duty to keep accounts in compliance with the statutory requirements on commercial accounting and financial reporting.¹⁵

iii Corporate liability

The rules on the corporate liability of sports organisations as well as their managers and directors are set out in the OR (in respect of share corporations and limited liability companies) and the ZGB (in respect of associations).

In respect of the corporate liability of associations, the relevant statutory framework is rather simple. It provides that persons acting on behalf of an association bind it by concluding legal acts as well as by other actions, and that an association's managers may become personally liable for their wrongful acts.¹⁶

The liability of managers and directors of a share corporation is subject to comprehensive statutory regulation pursuant to the OR.¹⁷ Managers and directors of a share corporation can be held liable in relation to the company, the shareholders and, under certain conditions, the company's creditors for any loss or damage arising from any intentional or negligent breach of their duties.¹⁸

A legal entity and its managers and directors can also become liable for criminal acts in accordance with the Swiss Penal Code (StGB). If a criminal act has been committed by or in a company during the exercise of a commercial activity, and if it is not possible to attribute this act to a specific natural person within the company due to its inadequate organisation, the act will be attributed to the company, which shall be sanctioned accordingly.¹⁹ On the other hand, if the wrongdoer can be identified, he or she will be sanctioned.

- Markus Lamprecht, Adrian Fischer, Hanspeter Stamm, 'Sportvereine in der Schweiz, Bundesamt f
 ür Sport BASPO', Magglingen 2011, p. 4.
- 12 Ibid, p. 5.
- 13 Article 61, Paragraph 2 ZGB.
- 14 For example, FIFA, the International Olympic Committee and the IIHF.
- 15 Article 69a ZGB in conjunction with Article 957 et seq. OR; Article 69b ZGB.
- 16 Article 55 ZGB.
- 17 In particular, Articles 752 to 760 OR.
- 18 Article 754, Paragraph 1 OR.
- 19 Article 102, Paragraph 1 StGB.

For some specific offences (e.g., criminal organisation, financing of terrorism, money laundering, bribery), the company will be subject to criminal sanctions irrespective of the criminal liability of a natural person, unless the company can prove that it has taken all reasonable organisational measures required to prevent the criminal act.²⁰

II THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SYSTEM

i Access to courts

In accordance with Article 30 of the BV, all persons whose case falls to be judicially decided have the right to have their case heard by a legally constituted, competent, independent and impartial court. Further, in accordance with Article 75 of the ZGB, any member who has not consented to a resolution of an association that infringes the law or the articles of association of an association is also entitled by law to challenge such resolution in court within one month of learning thereof, even if the judiciary rules of the association would not foresee such right of challenge.

As already mentioned, a sports governing body, most commonly organised in the form of an association, is free, within the limits provided for by mandatory law, to organise its structure and determine the judicial system that shall apply within the organisation. This includes the setting up of different internal instances that shall determine issues between the association and its members as well as between the members and other stakeholders. Examples of such internal judiciary instances include the FIFA Dispute Resolution Chamber and the UEFA Control, Ethics and Disciplinary Body. This freedom also includes the right to define and apply sanctions in the case of a breach of the statutes, regulations or other rules of the association by its members or officials, and other stakeholders such as players or athletes. Such measures typically include sanctions like reprimands, fines, suspensions and expulsion.²¹ If an association has established such internal judiciary systems, it may foresee that internal sanction decisions may be challenged before an internal higher instance or before a governing body of an umbrella organisation prior to an appeal being lodged before an independent court.²²

Moreover, freedom of association and economic freedom comprise a sports governing body's right to enact and enforce the rules of the game of its particular sport.²³

The distinction between the rules of the game and rules of law is of paramount importance. The purpose of the rules of the game is to ensure fair competition, and the rules are aimed at regulating athletes' conduct only during a competition. In other words, they have effect only during the course of the competition, and consequently

do not affect the personality or other rights of an athlete. For this reason, it is a widely accepted principle that rules of the game decisions (or field of play decisions) should

- 20 Article 102, Paragraph 2 StGB.
- 21 BSK ZGB I-Heini/Scherrer, footnote 4, Article 70 Paragraph 18.
- Hans Michael Riemer, 'Vereinsinternes Verfahren bei Vereinsstrafen', *Causa Sport (CaS)* 2013,
 p. 297.
- 23 Such as, for example, the Laws of the Game of FIFA or the IIHF Official Rule Book.

be and are, as a matter of principle, final and not appealable.²⁴ On the other hand, decisions of sports governing bodies that affect personality or other rights of an athlete are open to appeal before the state courts or an arbitration court, depending on the dispute resolution mechanism that applies.²⁵ For example, the awarding of a penalty kick and the sanctioning of an ice hockey player with a five-minute sanction are rules of the game decisions not open to appeal, while, by way of example, suspending a player from five subsequent games or imposing a fine would be a rule of law decision affecting the athlete's rights that would, consequently, be open to appeal.

Internal judiciary instances of sports governing bodies (as described above) normally do not fulfil the criteria of independent and impartial courts, as their members are usually elected and remunerated by the sports governing body itself.²⁶ For this reason, any member or other stakeholder that has been sanctioned by an association, or is otherwise subject to a decision of such association, has the right to challenge the decision and file an appeal with the competent state court, even if the relevant regulations of the association would not expressly foresee such course of action. Alternatively, an appeal may be lodged with a court of arbitration (that fulfils the requirements of independence and impartiality) if a valid arbitration agreement is in place.

Sport arbitration is of utmost importance in Switzerland given that the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) has its seat in Lausanne, Switzerland. In the landmark *Gundel* and *Lazutina* cases,²⁷ the Swiss Federal Tribunal confirmed that the CAS is a true arbitral tribunal.

ii Sports arbitration

In Swiss domestic arbitration, the *lex arbitrii* is found in the Swiss Civil Procedure Code (CPC). In international arbitration (i.e., where the court of arbitration has its seat in Switzerland, but where at least one party is not domiciled in Switzerland), the 12th Chapter on the Swiss Statute on Private International Law (PIL) applies. Article 354 of the CPC provides that in domestic arbitration, only claims that the parties may freely dispose of are arbitrable, while pursuant to Article 177 of the PIL, in international arbitration, any pecuniary claim may be subject to arbitration. It is strongly disputed whether claims based on Article 75 of the ZGB (i.e., appeals against decisions of an association), for instance an appeal by an athlete against a sanction for a doping offence or an appeal by a player against a suspension for unfair behaviour on the field, are of a pecuniary nature and are thus arbitrable. According to prevailing opinion, such appeals are deemed to be of a non-pecuniary nature, which would mean that such cases could not be brought to

arbitration (e.g., before the CAS).²⁸ That notwithstanding, the Swiss Federal Tribunal in

- 24 Official Collection of the Decisions of the Swiss Federal Tribunal (Swiss Federal Tribunal) BGE 108 II 15, cons 3.
- 25 BGE 120 II 369 cons 2; BGE 118 II 12, cons 2; BGE 103 Ia 410, cons 3b.
- 26 BGE 119 II 271, cons 3b.
- 27 BGE 119 II 271 and BGE 129 III 445.
- For example, BGE 108 II 15, cons 1a.

its practice usually accepts the arbitrability of appeals against sanction decisions of sports governing bodies brought before the CAS in international arbitration.²⁹

In domestic arbitration, arbitrability of employment matters is restricted, as disputes regarding the mandatory rights of an employee in the sense of Article 341 of the OR cannot be brought to arbitration against the employee's will, even if the employment agreement provides an explicit arbitration clause.³⁰ These are rights that the parties cannot freely dispose of. In international arbitration, however, admissibility has to be determined in light of Article 177 of the PIL. The Swiss Federal Tribunal holds that an employment issue may be brought before a court of arbitration having its seat in Switzerland such as the CAS if the employment agreement provides for an arbitration clause, even if employment disputes are exempt from arbitration in the domicile country of the employee. According to the Swiss Federal Tribunal, Article 177, Paragraph 1 of the PIL provides that any claim that has a pecuniary or economic interest can be brought to arbitration, and that this rule also applies to monetary claims under a labour contract.³¹ When assessing the issue of arbitrability of international employment issues, the Swiss Federal Tribunal also considers the risk that the prevailing party may not enforce the arbitral award in the relevant jurisdiction. However, it holds that when enacting Article 177 of the PIL, the Swiss legislator deliberately accepted the risk that an award may not be enforceable in a given jurisdiction, but that it is the parties' duty when agreeing on arbitration to assess whether a final award will be enforceable.³²

iii Enforceability

Two basic and fundamentally different avenues of enforceability must be distinguished: the way to enforce decisions of state courts and courts of arbitration, and the path to enforce decisions of internal judiciary bodies of sports associations.

In domestic disputes, decisions of state courts or independent and impartial arbitration courts are subject to enforcement in accordance with Article 335 et seq. of the CPC unless the decision relates to the payment of money or the provision of security, in which case it is enforced pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Act on Debt Enforcement and Bankruptcy. The recognition, declaration of enforceability and enforcement of foreign decisions in Switzerland are governed by said articles of the CPC, as well as relevant international treaties and Article 25 et seq. of the PIL. The recognition of decisions of Swiss state courts and arbitral awards of arbitration courts having their seat in Switzerland is subject to the relevant international treaties such as the Lugano Convention on the Jurisdiction, Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters and the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards.

- 29 For example, BGE 136 III 345.
- For example, Swiss Federal Tribunal 4A_515/2012 of 17 April 2013, cons 4.3.
- Swiss Federal Tribunal 4A_388/2012 of 18 March 2013, cons 3.4.1; Swiss Federal Tribunal
 4A_654/2011 of 23 May 2012, cons 3.4.
- 32 Swiss Federal Tribunal 4A_388/2012 of 18 March 2013, cons 3.3.

Switzerland

On the other hand, internal decisions of sports associations are usually not recognised as judgments or awards of a court or an arbitral tribunal, as the requirements of independence and impartiality are usually not met.³³ Therefore, they are not as such enforceable in accordance with the international treaties or domestic statutory provisions discussed above. That notwithstanding, such decisions are relevant for the parties concerned, as the decision would still be enforceable at the level of the association rendering the decision. Any non-compliance with the decision could trigger sporting and other sanctions by the relevant association in accordance with its own rules and regulations. If the decision is not appealed with the state courts, or a court of arbitration such as the CAS, it would become final, and the relevant party would become subject to sanctions by its association. Consequently, although such internal decisions of a sports governing body are not enforceable in the manner of decisions of the state courts or courts of arbitration, they are usually complied with by the relevant party as such party would otherwise run the risk of sporting or financial sanctions, or both, of the association that could have a material and adverse impact on that party.

ORGANISATION OF SPORTS EVENTS III

Relationship between organiser and spectator i

The relationship between the organiser of a sports event and spectators is primarily of a contractual nature, with the contract usually becoming effective when a spectator purchases a ticket for the event. The fundamental points of each such spectator contract consist of a spectator being granted admission to the stadium in return for a fee so that the spectator can follow a certain match or other sport event 'live'. The spectator contract may govern additional topics, such as safety, advertising, liability as well as the right of special importance with a view to the commercial exploitation of a sports event via television broadcasts – to film spectators within the scope of television broadcasts.

Relationship between organiser and athletes or clubs ii

The relationship between an organiser and athletes or clubs participating at a certain sports event can, on the one hand, be based on a specific agreement on participation. On the other hand, it can be based on membership to an association, and thus on obligations pursuant to a sports association's statutes and regulations (e.g., competition regulations defining the criteria for being eligible for participation as well as the rights and obligations of the participating athletes or clubs).

Liability of the organiser iii

If a person (e.g., a spectator or another third party) suffers damage because of the conduct of other spectators or athletes before, during or after a sports event, the organiser may, in principle, become liable either for breach of contract or, if no agreement with the damaged party is in place, for tort.

33 Ibid.

Switzerland

If the damage-causing event took place outside the arena and the surrounding private property, liability (if any) would typically be based on tort. Under Swiss law, liability for tort necessitates, *inter alia*, that the damaging party be charged with unlawfulness through inflicting damage in an appropriately causal manner (Article 42 of the OR). Pursuant to the established legislation of the Swiss Federal Tribunal, the causing of damage is unlawful when it breaches a general statutory obligation by either impairing an absolute right of the damaged party or effects pure financial damage through breach of a rule that by its purpose aims to protect against such damage.³⁴ Since, in this instance, the organiser has not itself caused the damage, it also has not itself breached such protective standard. For this reason, liability from forbearance may be conceivable. A non-contractual liability due to forbearance necessitates, pursuant to the Swiss Federal Tribunal, non-action despite the existence of a legal obligation to act.³⁵

Pursuant to the established legislation, however, unlawfulness can only develop when a protective standard in favour of the damaged party explicitly requires action. Such protective standard can first arise from some part of objective law and secondly from general legal principles.³⁶ If an absolute right is at risk (such as ownership), according to an unwritten legal principle a capacity to act exists for the person who has created a dangerous circumstance or otherwise is responsible for it in a legally binding manner.³⁷ This 'danger clause' provides that the person who creates or maintains a dangerous circumstance must take the protective measures necessary to avoid damage.³⁸ According to the Swiss Federal Tribunal, such clause is suitable, in the event of a breach of absolute legal assets, for establishing unlawfulness in the event of a lack of a specific protective standard.³⁹ When applied to the question of the possible liability of an organising club for damage caused by rioting 'fans' outside a stadium, these principles confirmed by the Swiss Federal Tribunal mean that an organiser could only be held liable for an unlawful action if a third party suffers damage to an absolute right (such as to his or her property, but not solely his or her assets) and if the circumstances reasonably require action by the organiser to avoid such damage. Whereas a breach of an absolute right will be regularly recognised when a car, house or another item is damaged, in the opinion of the authors, obliging the organiser to compensate such damage would, however, regularly fail in that the organiser cannot take any effective measures in the first place to avert damage outside the stadium, and is not even authorised to do so due to the monopoly to use force lying with the state.

- BGE 133 III 323, cons 5; BGE 132 III 122, cons 4.1; BGE 123 III 306, cons 4.
- 35 BGE 115 II 15, cons 3b.
- Heierli/Schnyder, in *Basler Kommentar zum Obligationenrecht I*, 6th ed. (BSK OR I-Heierli/ Schnyder), Article 41, Paragraphs 18, 19a and 37; BGE 115 II 15, cons 3b et seq.; Swiss
 Federal Tribunal 4A_520/2007 of 31 March 2008.
- 37 BGE 121 III 358, cons 4.
- 38 BGE 124 III 297, cons 5b.
- Swiss Federal Tribunal 4A_104/2012 of 3 August 2012, cons 2.1; Swiss Federal Tribunal 4A_520/2007 of 31 March 2008, cons 2.1; Swiss Federal Tribunal 4C.119/2000 of 2 October 2000, cons 2b.

Switzerland

On the other hand, if a spectator suffers damage in the stadium because of the conduct of another spectator or other person, the liability of the organiser (if any) would typically be contractual. The decisive aspect when assessing the organiser's liability is whether the organising club has an obligation to protect spectators from rioting 'fans'. According to general principles under Swiss law and in light of the nature of the business and the hypothetical will of the parties under a spectator contract, one must assume that the organiser's duties include the obligation to protect the spectators from damage. However, the crucial question is how far this obligation of protection extends. Maximum protective measures protecting against all possible incidents do not exist, and comprehensive protection can also not be expected from an organising club that has acted in good faith. However, it can be demanded that the organiser takes the objectively appropriate protective measures.⁴⁰ The event organiser, thus, must take the security measures that the spectator can reasonably expect. When examining the specific circumstances, such as the quality of the stadium, the type of match (friendly, championship-deciding match, etc.) as well as any known willingness to use violence on the part of own fans and those of the visiting club need to be taken into account. In this regard, important indications are also offered by the relevant regulations that the responsible sports association has issued on the topic of safety and safety measures in stadiums. If such regulations exist, a court that has been called upon to assess an incident of damage will then also orient itself to these regulations. If an organising club does not comply with these regulations, it could only in exceptional cases assert that the specified measures were excessive or not expedient, and that it therefore did not comply with them.

iv Liability of the athletes

Athletes may also become liable either for breach of contract or for tort. In both cases, the athlete may be held liable for compensation of the damage caused. In cases of tort liability, the damage is caused unlawfully when the athlete breaches a general statutory obligation by either impairing an absolute right of the damaged party or effects pure financial damage through breach of a rule that by its purpose aims to protect against such damage.⁴¹

In respect of sport injuries incurred during participation in a sports event, in general, the principle of 'acting at one's own risk' applies, at least as far as the rules of law have been complied with or have not been breached by the damaging party in a serious manner. In the case of an excess violation of the appropriate standard of care or the rules of the game, or both, the damaging athlete may be not only be held liable for compensation of damages but may also expose him or herself to sanctions under criminal law.

- 40 András Gurovits, 'Die zivilrechtliche Haftung für Zuschauerverhalten', *CaS* 2014, p. 271 et seq.
- In respect of liability in general: BGE 133 III 323, cons 5; BGE 132 III 122, cons 4.1; BGE 123 III 306, cons 4.

v Liability of the spectators

Express written terms may be scarce in a spectator contract. For this reason, the generally recognised principles under Swiss law that contractual content is not solely determined by the wording of the contract, and that other obligations exist that result in good faith from the nature of the contract and correspond to the hypothetical will of the parties, are also important in the case of the misconduct of a spectator. In the authors' opinion, such other non-written or implied obligations undoubtedly include, for example, an obligation on the spectators not to let off any firecrackers and, more generally, their obligation not to disturb the course of the event and not to jeopardise the health of the other spectators and the players. From a legal dogma perspective, these are ancillary obligations of spectators (i.e., additional obligations to the payment of the admission price). Such ancillary obligations cannot always be claimed independently, but when they are breached they nevertheless result at least in an obligation of the breaching party to pay compensation. If a spectator breaches a primary or ancillary obligation under the spectator contract, he or she becomes liable for compensation pursuant to the general regulations under Article 97 et seq. of the OR if he or she cannot prove that he or she is not culpable.

vi Riot prevention

The Concordat on Measures to Combat Violence during Sports Events (Concordat) was recently introduced in Switzerland as an inter-cantonal set of rules aimed at reducing acts of violence at sports events, and in particular at games featuring professional football teams. Measures under the Concordat include, for actual and potential wrongdoers, the designation of off-limit areas, the requirement to report to the police at certain dates and times, and police custody. The Concordat also makes provision for authorising private security firms commissioned by the organiser of the event to perform admission checks and to conduct clothed body searches for prohibited items, irrespective of a specific suspicion.⁴² The Concordat can also result in an obligation for clubs to request that spectators show proof of their identity in order to ensure that no persons are admitted who are subject to a stadium ban or to other measures pursuant to the Concordat.⁴³ However, while as a matter of principle clubs are responsible for ensuring security within a stadium and in any surrounding private area, in the case of a violent dispute inside or outside a stadium pursuant to the monopoly of the state on using force, the police must intervene. Clubs thus have their hands tied with regard to effective measures to curb violence within arenas.44

In order to compensate the state for security measures implemented before, during and after a sports event, the cantonal laws foresee an organiser's duty to contribute to the costs incurred.⁴⁵ This cost contribution is usually determined by taking into account

- 43 Article 3a, Paragraph 3 of the Concordat.
- 44 Gurovits, footnote 40, p. 273 et seq.
- 45 Article 58, Paragraph 1, Letter (a) Police Act of the Canton of Zurich.

⁴² Article 3b, Paragraph 2 of the Concordat.

the entire cost incurred, the purpose of the event and the interest of the general public in such event. 46

IV COMMERCIALISATION OF SPORTS EVENTS

i Types of and ownership in rights

From a legal viewpoint, the organisation and realisation of a sports event, such as a tournament or a championship, presupposes a complex system of contractual arrangements. This is due to the fact that the organisers of a sports event work together with a multitude of different partners, and must guard against contractual and non-contractual risks to the extent possible and justifiable.

From an organisational viewpoint, the hub of this complex system consists of the organisation agreement that is, as a rule, entered into between the sports association under whose auspices the sports event will be held and the host. In terms of commercial exploitation, television agreements and sponsoring agreements are of substantial importance. Spectators who wish to watch a sports event live in a stadium (or at another event location) are located at the other end of the organisational chain. A separate contract (the spectator contract, discussed in Section III, supra) is entered into with each individual spectator or ticket purchaser. Additional contractual relationships arise with owners or operators of stadiums or other competition sites. As a primary matter, these agreements govern the right on the part of a host or sports association, or both, to use a stadium (or other competition site) for the purposes of the relevant sports event. Depending on the situation, other parties involved in this system may include merchandisers and caterers. Caterers are responsible for supplying competition sites, or certain VIP zones, or both, with food, beverages and other resources necessary for catering to the public, provided that this activity is not assigned to a sponsor within the scope of the sponsoring agreement. Merchandisers are responsible for the production and delivery of products (e.g., balls, T-shirts, caps, watches and other fan articles) bearing the logo or the trademark of the sports event or sports association, or both. Finally, the host or the sports association, or both, will have to conclude insurance policies that primarily cover risks of personal injuries and property damages.

Within the above-described contractual framework, a number of different rights are involved that must be appropriately secured or assigned, or both. In this chapter, the discussion is limited to a description of the most relevant rights involved and their protection, i.e. the domestic authority, the rights on the athlete's own image, copyrights,

and trademarks.

ii Rights protection

Domestic authority

The holder of the domestic authority has the power of decision regarding access to the premises. It may, for example, enter into contracts with spectators and vendors as well

⁴⁶ Gurovits, footnote 40, p. 275 et seq.

as with broadcasting companies to grant the right to access and install the necessary materials for emitting signals to register and broadcast the sport event).⁴⁷

Right to one's own image

The right to their own image of athletes and spectators is protected from unlawful infringements by Article 28 of the ZGB. However, as athletes are usually public personalities, their right to claim protection is limited. They are, as a rule, considered to have accepted that they will be filmed and photographed during events.

However, the reproduction of recordings and pictures is, as a matter of principle, only allowed in relation to a specific event; for any other use, consent is required. For interviews, a tacit consent can be assumed.⁴⁸

Copyright

Sport events are, as a rule, not protected by copyright because they are not works pursuant to the Copyright Act (URG).⁴⁹ However, recordings of sports events are considered works pursuant to the URG⁵⁰ as long as they have an individual character, which may be the case if specific techniques are applied such as, for instance, special picture direction, specialised commentary or slow motion replays.⁵¹ Copyrights are protected intellectual property with *erga omnes* effect. They do not require any specific registration. The URG provides protection of related rights (or neighbouring rights) of the producer, allowing the reproduction and distribution of recordings,⁵² and allowing the broadcasting organisation to retransmit and distribute its broadcasts.⁵³

Trademarks

Trademarks need to be registered in the trademark registry in order to be protected.⁵⁴ Trademarks are protected intellectual property and have *erga omnes* effect. They can either be assigned, or their usage can be granted through licences. The legal remedies set out in the Trademark Protection Act are similar to those provided in the URG. Trademarks are typically used to designate specific sports events.

- 47 See Heinz Tännler, Tanja Haug, 'Kommerzielle Verwertung von Sportveranstaltungen', *CaS* 2007, p. 139.
- Heinz Hausheer, Regina E Aebi-Müller, *Das Personenrecht des Schweizerischen Zivilgesetzbuches*,
 3rd ed., Berne 2012, p. 221.
- 49 Article 2, Paragraph 1 URG.
- 50 Article 2, Paragraph 2, Letter (g) URG.
- 51 Thomas Hügi, *Sportrecht*, Berne 2015, p. 195.
- 52 Article 36 URG.
- 53 Article 37 URG.
- 54 Articles 5 and 6 of the Trademark Protection Act.

V PROFESSIONAL SPORTS AND LABOUR LAW

i Mandatory provisions

Under Swiss law, an employment agreement does not need to be in writing or to be signed in order to come into force. If a party can otherwise demonstrate that an agreement has been concluded, it is valid and binding.

Employment relationships are subject to a number of mandatory provisions that are to be found in the OR as well as in the Swiss Employment Act (ArG). While the former sets out mandatory rules in respect of the content of agreements, such as, for instance, terms, termination, holidays, sickness leave, non-compete clauses and intellectual property rights, the latter contains provisions on employee protection, including health protection, overtime work and work during nights and on weekends. While these latter provisions are, in principle, mandatory and applicable to all employees in Switzerland, they are considered as inappropriate in respect of the activities of professional athletes.⁵⁵

ii Free movement of athletes

The treaty on the free movement of individuals between the European Union and Switzerland (FZA) grants the citizens of contracting states the right to freely choose their place of work and residence. The FZA is also applicable to professional athletes.⁵⁶ In accordance with Article 2 FZA, discrimination due to citizenship is forbidden.

The system under the FZA also applies to citizens of the European Free Trade Association countries (Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein). Citizens of third countries are subject to the Swiss Foreign Nationals Act, which provides, in general, higher burdens regarding admission to employment and residence, but also provides some exceptions in respect of professional athletes.⁵⁷

Swiss sports associations may, however, voluntarily restrict the free movement of athletes. They did so, for instance, in ice hockey based on a gentlemen's agreement pursuant to which not more than four foreign players shall be on the roster for a particular game.

iii Application of employment rules of sports governing bodies

Employer and employee are free to determine the terms of their employment contract within the limits of mandatory Swiss law. This includes their right to agree that standard terms of a national sports association shall form an integral part of the agreement. Such standard terms are enacted, for instance, by the Swiss Football League.

- 55 Hügi, footnote 51, p. 276 et seq.
- 56 Kurt Rohner/Adrian Wymann, 'Das Freizügigkeitsabkommen mit der EU und der Schweizer Sport – Konsequenzen für die Arbeitsverhältnisse', *CaS* 2004, p. 5.
- 57 Article 23, Paragraph 3, Letter (b) AuG.

VI SPORTS AND ANTITRUST LAW

The Swiss Federal Act on Cartels and other Restraints of Competition (CartA) applies to private and public undertakings that are parties to cartels or to other agreements affecting competition, that exercise market power or that are part of a concentration of undertakings.⁵⁸ In accordance with the CartA, agreements that significantly restrict competition in a market for specific goods or services and that are not justified on grounds of economic efficiency, and all agreements that eliminate effective competition, are unlawful.⁵⁹ Further, dominant undertakings are seen to behave unlawfully if they, by abusing their position in the market, hinder other undertakings from starting or continuing to compete, or disadvantage trading partners.⁶⁰

Undertakings in the sense of the CartA are consumers and suppliers of goods and services regardless of their legal and organisational form if and as far as they are active in commercial activities. Thus, sports governing bodies and other sports organisations are bound by the CartA as they participate in commercial activities such as the sale of broadcast and marketing rights. On the other hand, the activities and regulations of a sports governing body are traditionally considered to be outside the scope of the CartA if and to the extent they are related to the organisation, governance and administration of their particular sport. The rules of the game, eligibility provisions, the regime of sanctions, internal dispute resolutions systems and similar provisions are, therefore, usually excluded from scrutiny from an antitrust law perspective.

However, as sports governing bodies may be seen as having a dominant position on the relevant sports market or markets, the above dichotomy of rules falling within and those falling outside the scope of the CartA is subject to growing criticism, and it is also argued that the organisational and administrative rules of a sport governing body may be in violation of cartel law if they significantly impact an athlete's (commercial) rights. In the *Pechstein* case, for instance, the Munich Higher Regional Court ruled that the arbitration clause of the International Skating Union (ISU), according to which disputes between athletes and the ISU must exclusively be determined by the CAS, is in breach of the German Cartel Act,⁶¹ as an athlete has no other choice than to sign the arbitration clause if he or she wants to participate at ISU competitions. Time will tell whether the Swiss courts will follow the approach of the German court, and whether the organisational and administrative rules and regulations of sports governing bodies will become the subject of stricter review by the courts from an antitrust law perspective.

VII SPORTS AND TAXATION

The Swiss taxation system is complex, and any comprehensive discussion thereof would go far beyond the scope of this chapter. Therefore, the following discussion shall be

58 Article 2, Paragraph 1 CartA.

- 59 Article 5, Paragraph 1 CartA.
- 60 Article 7, Paragraph 1 CartA.
- 61 'Fall Pechstein: CAS-Schiedsklausel kartellrechtswidrig', *CaS* 2015, p. 37 et seq.

limited to those Swiss tax aspects that are relevant for foreign (professional) athletes that participate at sports events held in Switzerland.

The income of employed and self-employed athletes that are non-Swiss residents is subject to source tax in respect of the income generated from their participation at sports events in Switzerland. Taxable income consists of all gross earnings, including allowances and value in-kind contributions, and it also includes earnings that are not paid to the athlete but to a third party, such as an organiser, manager or agent. The applicable tax rates and allowed deductions depend on the canton of performance. In the canton of Zurich, for instance, a lump sum of 20 per cent of the gross earnings may be deducted for costs incurred in respect of the performance in Switzerland. If the athlete elects to deduct (higher) actual costs, he or she must evidence such higher costs by producing the relevant receipts. Currently, the relevant source tax rate in the canton of Zurich covering federal, state and municipality tax ranges between 10.8 per cent for daily earnings up to 200 Swiss francs and 17 per cent for daily earnings in excess of 3,000 Swiss francs. The source tax becomes due at the date of payment of the taxable income to the athlete. The host of the event must pay the tax to the tax authority of the municipality where the event took place within 30 days of the beginning of the month following such payment. The social security consequences must be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Most double taxation treaties entered into by Switzerland follow Article 17 of the OECD Model Tax Convention and do not alter the rules discussed above.

VIII SPECIFIC SPORTS ISSUES

i Doping

Article 19 et seq. of the Federal Law on the Promotion of Sports (LPS) and Article 73 et seq. of its implementing ordinance provide the legal framework for the fight against doping. Measures include restriction of the supply of prohibited substances, the execution of doping controls and the authorisation of private bodies to perform such controls, as well as the sanctioning of certain violations of the LPS. Criminal sanctions for violations of the law include penalties or imprisonment for a period of up to five years, or both.

Criminal sanctions may be imposed on anyone who produces, purchases, imports, exports, transports, distributes, acts as an agent for, prescribes or possesses prohibited substances, or applies prohibited methods to other persons. According to the LPS, the production, purchase, import, export, transportation or possession of prohibited

substances for a person's own consumption are not subject to criminal sanction pursuant to the LPS.

In addition, doping is banned by the relevant doping regulations of the various sports governing bodies and the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). Violations of these rules will, however, not be sanctioned based on statutory public law, but rather in accordance with the relevant sanction regime of the sports governing body and WADA.

ii Betting

Under the Federal Lottery Act, commercial betting in the area of sports is, as a rule, forbidden. This ban is to be understood broadly, and it prohibits offering to act as an

agent for bets, the operation of a betting provider as well as any form of advertising and promotion of betting activities. Anyone who violates the law may become subject to criminal sanctions, which could include imprisonment for a period of up to three months or a fine, or both. According to the Lottery Act, the cantons may authorise exceptions and grant licences to specific betting providers.

The provisions of the Lottery Act are also of practical relevance in the international context, in that advertising on jerseys (e.g., jerseys worn by football players) is not allowed, and that this ban would also extend to foreign teams playing in Switzerland during, for example, the UEFA Champions League or Europa League. If a betting provider is a sponsor of such foreign team and its logo is displayed on the players' jerseys, the team would not be allowed to play in Switzerland wearing these jerseys.

iii Match fixing and manipulation in sports

At present, Swiss law does not provide specific statutory provisions aimed at banning match fixing or manipulation in sports. While in specific cases a delinquent may become liable under the current legislation for fraud or corruption if the requirements of proof are fulfilled, the statutory framework currently in force does not provide any comprehensive and proper protection of clean sports in general.

A recent decision of the Swiss Federal Criminal Court⁶² attained public attention for holding that football players allegedly involved in match fixing could not be subject to criminal sanctions because unlike human beings, the electronic betting systems used in that particular case could not be deceived, and thus the players could not have committed any fraud in the sense of the StGB.

The Swiss Federal Council has launched an initiative to amend the current law and to introduce sanctions for a new crime called 'sports fraud'. It cannot, however, be predicted at this stage whether and when such new law will be passed, so for the time being various types of manipulative acts in the area of sports may remain unpunishable under the current penal law. This notwithstanding, delinquents may of course be sanctioned in accordance with the statutes and regulations of a sports governing body if they are found, pursuant to the judiciary systems of the relevant sports governing body, to have fixed a match or to have committed any other culpable manipulative act.

iv Grey market sales

In the past few years, three parliamentary interventions in the National Council addressed the issue of grey market sales, asking the Swiss Federal Council to evaluate the situation.⁶³

The Swiss Federal Council, however, held that the legislation already provides efficient means to combat grey market sales. It also held that technical and contractual measures

⁶² Decisions Nos. SK.2011.33 and SK.2012.21 of 13 November 2012.

Postulate No. 15.3397, Wiederverkauf von Veranstaltungstickets zu überhöhten Preisen.
 Sanktionen; Motion No. 14.3478, Weiterverkaufte Tickets dürfen nicht teurer werden;
 Interpellation No. 10.3078, Graumarkt für Tickets für Konzert- und Sportveranstaltungen.

are available for organisers to prevent or limit grey market sales.⁶⁴ For these reasons, the Swiss Federal Council did not propose any new measures in this context.

IX THE YEAR IN REVIEW

In May 2015, a professional football player in Swiss Super League team FC Aarau was found guilty of intentional common assault and negligent serious assault and was, as a consequence, held liable for a suspended monetary penalty and a fine amounting to 10,000 Swiss francs. This decision was issued by the Lenzburg-Aarau Public Prosecution Department, which had first instance decision power in the matter.

During a Swiss Super League match between FC Aarau and FC Zurich played on 9 November 2014, the FC Aarau player was shown a straight red card after a reckless tackle against an FC Zurich player, which caused a serious injury to the latter's knee. Following a criminal complaint by the injured player and FC Zurich, the Lenzburg-Aarau Public Prosecution Department opened an investigation, which was terminated by its rendering of the above-mentioned decision. The Public Prosecution Department considered itself competent to rule in an area where sports governing bodies usually claim to be autonomous and independent from the state authorities. This notwithstanding, the state authorities held the behaviour of the injuring player to be so serious that it must, in addition, be investigated by the criminal investigation authorities irrespective of any sanction of the football association. The decision was heavily discussed and disputed, not only in sports but also Swiss legal circles. As the injuring player has filed an appeal, the decision has not yet become final. This notwithstanding, this case strikingly demonstrates that, despite a certain degree of autonomy that the sports world may enjoy, its stakeholders are not free to act completely outside the statutory law framework. It will be interesting to see whether this decision will be upheld, particularly in light of the practice of the Swiss Federal Tribunal according to which the courts must accept a sports-imminent risk of injury, and may intervene only if athletes deliberately and blatantly violate rules of the game aimed at protecting the health of their opponents.⁶⁵

On 18 September 2014, the Member States of the Council of Europe signed the Convention on the Manipulation of Sports Competitions (Convention) in Magglingen, Switzerland. The purpose of the Convention is to combat the manipulation of sports competitions in order to protect the integrity of sports and sports ethics in accordance with the principle of the autonomy of sport. Pursuant to Article 3, Paragraph 4 of the Convention, 'manipulation of sports competitions' means an intentional arrangement, act or omission aimed at an improper alteration of the result or the course of a sports competition in order to remove all or part of the unpredictable nature of the sports competition with a view to obtaining an undue advantage for oneself or for others. The Convention proposes a number of measures that the signatory states shall implement, including measures on domestic cooperation, risk assessment and management, education and awareness raising, and information exchange. The Convention shall be

⁶⁴ Interpellation No. 10.3078, Graumarkt für Tickets für Konzert- und Sportveranstaltungen.
65 BGE 134 IV 26.

open for signature by the Member States of the Council of Europe, other state parties to the European Cultural Convention, the Member States of the European Union, as well as non-Member States that have participated in the Convention's elaboration or that enjoy observer status with the Council of Europe. The Convention is subject to ratification, and shall enter into force on the first day of the month following the expiration of a period of three months after the date on which five signatories, including at least three Member States of the Council of Europe, have expressed their consent to be bound by the Convention. In Switzerland, it is expected that a first draft of a corresponding law will be ready by the end of 2015.⁶⁶

X OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

Swiss law does not provide a comprehensive set of rules for sports-related legal issues. Sport is, rather, subject to the general statutory framework, and the relevant rules can be found in codifications such as the OR, the ZGB, the StGB, the PIL, the CPC and many others. The current statutory framework provides appropriate solutions for most topics that are of relevance in the sports sector. Issues that are not covered and where a loophole in the law could be identified include certain methods of manipulation and betting. The Federal Council has already launched a legal initiative to close this gap, but at present it cannot be predicted when the relevant statutory provisions will be enacted.

Sports governing bodies and other sports organisations established in Switzerland enjoy a wide autonomy to regulate their internal affairs. In addition, Swiss law and the jurisprudence of the Swiss Federal Tribunal are 'arbitration-friendly'. This is particularly important for the impressive number of international sports associations that are domiciled in Switzerland as well as for the CAS, which has its seat in Lausanne. The judiciary system in the world of sports that provides that the CAS shall act as last instance in sports matters is based on pertaining arbitration clauses mostly set out in the statutes and regulations of the sports governing bodies to which athletes are bound through their affiliation to the relevant association. In the *Pechstein* case, a German court recently found that such arbitration clause is in breach of German cartel law. Time will tell whether this decision will impact sports-related dispute resolution worldwide or whether it will remain a unique decision of domestic relevance only.

66 BASPO (the Federal Office of Sport) press release: www.baspo.admin.ch/internet/baspo/de/ home/aktuell/sportminister_unterzeichnen_konvention_gegen_wettkampfmanipulation.html (last visited on 25 August 2015); Text of the Convention: http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/ EN/Treaties/Html/215.htm (last visited on 25 August 2015).

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

ANDRÁS GUROVITS

Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd

Dr András Gurovits is a partner at Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd, Zurich, Switzerland. His main areas of practice are arbitration and litigation, sports, TMT and corporate governance.

He is a listed arbitrator with the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS) in Lausanne, a member of the legal committee of the International Ice Hockey Federation and a member of the board of directors of the Neue Grasshopper Fussball AG, the corporation operating the professional football activities of the Swiss multisport club Grasshopper Club Zurich.

Dr Gurovits regularly advises clients in civil and commercial disputes before state courts and arbitral tribunals, as well as in administrative proceedings before the relevant state authorities. Further, he regularly acts as sole arbitrator, member of a panel or chairman in arbitration proceedings before the CAS. His corporate governance experience includes, in particular, the planning and implementation of organisational regulations, codes of conduct and similar instruments to ensure the proper conduct of commercial entities, sports governing bodies and sports organisations. His sports practice covers all aspects of sports-related issues, such as commercialisation of sports rights, organisation of sports events and management of sports organisations.

MARTINA MADONNA-QUADRI

Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd

Martina Madonna-Quadri joined Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd, Zurich, Switzerland, in 2014 as an associate after working as a law clerk at the district court of Zurich. Her main areas of practice include corporate and contract law as well as sports law.

Ms Madonna-Quadri advises companies and private clients mainly in the fields of corporate and contract law, in particular employment law, and acts as a consultant on different matters relating to sports law. She also focuses on dispute resolution in these areas.

RENÉ FISCHER

Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd

René Fischer joined Niederer Kraft & Frey Ltd, Zurich, Switzerland, in 2010 as an associate. His main areas of practice include corporate and commercial law, mergers and acquisitions as well as pension law.

Mr Fischer regularly advises corporations and other entities of different sizes active in various industries on a broad range of matters of corporate and commercial law as well as mergers and acquisitions (including corporate restructurings). He also acts as a consultant on different matters relating to sports law.

NIEDERER KRAFT & FREY LTD

Bahnhofstrasse 13 8001 Zurich Switzerland Tel: +41 58 800 8000 Fax: +41 58 800 8080 andras.gurovits@nkf.ch martina.madonna-quadri@nkf.ch rene.fischer@nkf.ch www.nkf.ch

